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## I. VARIATION OF SYSTEM SIZE



FIG. S1: Probability of lethal single node knock-outs as a function of density $\rho$ in networks with $n=10$ (upper panel) and $n=30$ nodes (lower panel). Other details are as in Figure 3 in the main article.


FIG. S2: Lethality of knock-outs as a function of density $\rho$ in networks with $n=10$ (left panels) and $n=30$ nodes (right panels). Other details are as in Figure 4 in the main article.

TABLE S1: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of single node knock-outs in networks with $n=10$ nodes. Other details are as in Table 1 in the main article.

|  | struct. lethality out-deg. out + in-deg. out - in-deg. betw.centr. in-deg. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p=0.21$ | 0.710 | 0.662 | 0.637 | 0.595 | 0.572 | 0.476 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | 0.750 | 0.703 | 0.621 | 0.671 | 0.584 | 0.469 |
| $p=0.28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | 0.726 | 0.688 | 0.616 | 0.653 | 0.583 | 0.488 |
| $p=0.32$ |  |  | 0.626 | 0.601 | 0.579 | 0.561 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | 0.697 | 0.678 | 0.629 | 0.623 | 0.596 | 0.492 |
| $p=0.37$ | 0.634 | 0.614 | 0.590 | 0.572 | 0.556 | 0.494 |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | 0.678 | 0.663 | 0.618 | 0.613 | 0.584 | 0.523 |

TABLE S2: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of single node knock-outs in networks with $n=30$ nodes. Other details are as in Table 1 in the main article.

|  | struct. lethality | out-deg. out + in-deg. out - in-deg. betw.centr. in-deg. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p=0.11$ | 0.657 | 0.642 | 0.613 | 0.588 | 0.567 | 0.484 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | 0.708 | 0.692 | 0.625 | 0.647 | 0.586 | 0.490 |
| $p=0.20$ | 0.605 | 0.597 | 0.574 | 0.563 | 0.557 | 0.493 |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | 0.648 | 0.640 | 0.592 | 0.605 | 0.573 | 0.496 |
| $p=0.26$ | 0.587 | 0.580 | 0.561 | 0.552 | 0.549 | 0.495 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | 0.673 | 0.668 | 0.624 | 0.609 | 0.590 | 0.528 |
| $p=0.31$ |  | 0.575 | 0.570 | 0.553 | 0.546 | 0.544 |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | 0.625 | 0.620 | 0.585 | 0.582 | 0.567 | 0.513 |

TABLE S3: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of double node knock-outs which exhibit synthetic lethality. Networks have $n=10$ nodes. Other details are as in Table 2 in the main article.

|  | struct. syn. let. out-overlap | repl. centr. | evol. distance | in-overlap |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=0.21$ | $0.895(0.903)$ | $0.840(0.849)$ | $0.598(0.601)$ | - | $0.494(0.493)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | $0.908(0.915)$ | $0.881(0.888)$ | $0.598(0.602)$ | $0.619(0.618)$ | $0.519(0.517)$ |
| $p=0.28$ | $0.828(0.838)$ | $0.768(0.780)$ | $0.587(0.590)$ | - | $0.497(0.497)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | $0.887(0.896)$ | $0.851(0.860)$ | $0.593(0.597)$ | $0.570(0.570)$ | $0.514(0.512)$ |
| $p=0.32$ | $0.790(0.802)$ | $0.731(0.744)$ | $0.582(0.586)$ | - | $0.499(0.500)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | $0.817(0.828)$ | $0.790(0.795)$ | $0.620(0.627)$ | $0.634(0.632)$ | $0.585(0.581)$ |
| $p=0.37$ | $0.746(0.759)$ | $0.690(0.705)$ | $0.578(0.582)$ | - | $0.500(0.500)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | $0.777(0.791)$ | $0.749(0.759)$ | $0.604(0.610)$ | $0.595(0.593)$ | $0.561(0.560)$ |

TABLE S4: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of double node knock-outs which exhibit synthetic lethality. Networks have $n=30$ nodes. Other details are as in Table 2 in the main article.

|  | struct. syn. let. | out-overlap | repl. centr. | evol. distance | in-overlap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=0.11$ | $0.895(0.901)$ | $0.874(0.879)$ | $0.605(0.609)$ | - | $0.502(0.501)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | $0.912(0.919)$ | $0.897(0.903)$ | $0.601(0.604)$ | $0.585(0.586)$ | $0.533(0.533)$ |
| $p=0.20$ | $0.761(0.768)$ | $0.728(0.738)$ | $0.579(0.583)$ | - | $0.501(0.501)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | $0.827(0.837)$ | $0.802(0.813)$ | $0.590(0.595)$ | $0.538(0.538)$ | $0.518(0.518)$ |
| $p=0.26$ | $0.700(0.706)$ | $0.661(0.673)$ | $0.562(0.566)$ | - | $0.500(0.500)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | $0.792(0.803)$ | $0.768(0.782)$ | $0.595(0.598)$ | $0.599(0.597)$ | $0.566(0.566)$ |
| $p=0.31$ | $0.666(0.670)$ | $0.621(0.634)$ | $0.551(0.554)$ | - | $0.500(0.500)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | $0.710(0.719)$ | $0.674(0.693)$ | $0.573(0.577)$ | $0.557(0.556)$ | $0.538(0.538)$ |

## II. UNIFORM CHOICE OF FIXED POINT



FIG. S3: Probability of lethal single node knock-outs as a function of density $\rho$ in networks with $n=10$ using uniform choice of the functional fixed point. Other details are as in Figure 3 in the main article.

TABLE S5: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of single node knock-outs in networks with $n=10$ nodes using uniform choice of the functional fixed point. Other details are as in Table 1 in the main article.

|  | struct. lethality | out-deg. out + in-deg. out - in-deg. betw.centr. in-deg. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p=0.21$ | 0.695 | 0.625 | 0.596 | 0.582 | 0.569 | 0.496 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | 0.743 | 0.658 | 0.594 | 0.622 | 0.578 | 0.480 |
| $p=0.28$ | 0.652 | 0.606 | 0.576 | 0.573 | 0.548 | 0.503 |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | 0.710 | 0.640 | 0.591 | 0.600 | 0.574 | 0.503 |
| $p=0.32$ | 0.630 | 0.592 | 0.566 | 0.564 | 0.539 | 0.504 |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | 0.686 | 0.625 | 0.590 | 0.583 | 0.565 | 0.518 |
| $p=0.37$ |  | 0.612 | 0.583 | 0.560 | 0.558 | 0.534 |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | 0.657 | 0.610 | 0.582 | 0.569 | 0.549 | 0.521 |



FIG. S4: Lethality of knock-outs as a function of density $\rho$ in networks with $n=10$ using uniform choice of the functional fixed point. Other details are as in Figure 4 in the main article.

TABLE S6: Overview of the area under the ROC curves for prediction of double node knock-outs which exhibit synthetic lethality. Networks have $n=10$ nodes. The functional fixed point is determined by uniform choice. Other details are as in Table 2 in the main article.

|  | struct. syn. let. out-overlap | repl. centr. | evol. distance | in-overlap |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=0.21$ | $0.910(0.918)$ | $0.854(0.863)$ | $0.612(0.616)$ | - | $0.497(0.498)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.58$ | $0.921(0.929)$ | $0.896(0.904)$ | $0.594(0.599)$ | $0.621(0.620)$ | $0.523(0.522)$ |
| $p=0.28$ | $0.844(0.855)$ | $0.776(0.788)$ | $0.592(0.596)$ | - | $0.498(0.499)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.58$ | $0.903(0.912)$ | $0.866(0.875)$ | $0.594(0.598)$ | $0.571(0.570)$ | $0.514(0.513)$ |
| $p=0.32$ | $0.803(0.814)$ | $0.733(0.746)$ | $0.586(0.590)$ | - | $0.500(0.501)$ |
| $a=0.05, r=0.25$ | $0.835(0.847)$ | $0.807(0.819)$ | $0.600(0.608)$ | $0.630(0.628)$ | $0.582(0.580)$ |
| $p=0.37$ | $0.760(0.771)$ | $0.689(0.703)$ | $0.575(0.579)$ | - | $0.498(0.500)$ |
| $a=0.1, r=0.25$ | $0.802(0.816)$ | $0.764(0.778)$ | $0.596(0.603)$ | $0.604(0.602)$ | $0.568(0.569)$ |

